

The Addition of Spin 1/2 and Orbital Angular Momentum (Details)

Of great importance for future applications is the combination of a spin with an orbital angular momentum. Since \mathbf{L} depends on spatial coordinates and \mathbf{S} does not, they commute

$$[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{S}] = 0 \quad (10A-1)$$

It is therefore evident that the components of the total angular momentum \mathbf{J} , defined by

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S} \quad (10A-2)$$

will satisfy the angular momentum commutation relations.

In asking for linear combinations of the Y_{lm} and the χ_{\pm} that are eigenstates of

$$J_z = L_z + S_z \quad (10A-3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}^2 &= \mathbf{L}^2 + \mathbf{S}^2 + 2\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \\ &= \mathbf{L}^2 + \mathbf{S}^2 + 2L_z S_z + L_+ S_- + L_- S_+ \end{aligned} \quad (10A-4)$$

we are again looking for the expansion coefficients of one complete set of eigenfunctions in terms of another set of eigenfunctions.

Let us consider the linear combination

$$\psi_{j,m+1/2} = \alpha Y_{lm} \chi_+ + \beta Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- \quad (10A-5)$$

It is, by construction, an eigenfunction of J_z with eigenvalue $(m + \frac{1}{2})\hbar$. We now determine α and β such that it is also an eigenfunction of J^2 . We shall make use of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ Y_{lm} &= [l(l+1) - m(m+1)]^{1/2} \hbar Y_{l,m+1} \\ &= [(l+m+1)(l-m)]^{1/2} \hbar Y_{l,m+1} \\ L_- Y_{lm} &= [(l-m+1)(l+m)]^{1/2} \hbar Y_{l,m-1} \\ S_+ \chi_+ &= S_- \chi_- = 0 \quad S_{\pm} \chi_{\mp} = \hbar \chi_{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (10A-6)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}^2 \psi_{j,m+1/2} &= \alpha \hbar^2 \{ [l(l+1) Y_{lm} \chi_+ + \frac{3}{4} Y_{lm} \chi_+ + 2m(\frac{1}{2}) Y_{lm} \chi_+ \\ &\quad + [(l-m)(l+m+1)]^{1/2} Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- \} + \beta \hbar^2 \{ [l(l+1) Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- + 2(m+1)(-\frac{1}{2}) Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- \\ &\quad + [(l-m)(l+m+1)]^{1/2} Y_{lm} \chi_+ \} \end{aligned} \quad (10A-7)$$

This will be of the form

$$\hbar^2 j(j+1) \psi_{j,m+1/2} = \hbar^2 j(j+1) (\alpha Y_{lm} \chi_+ + \beta Y_{l,m+1} \chi_-) \quad (10A-8)$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha[l(l+1) + \frac{3}{4} + m] + \beta[(l-m)(l+m+1)]^{1/2} &= j(j+1) \alpha \\ \beta[l(l+1) + \frac{3}{4} - m - 1] + \alpha[(l-m)(l+m+1)]^{1/2} &= j(j+1) \beta \end{aligned} \quad (10A-9)$$

This requires that

$$\begin{aligned} (l-m)(l+m+1) &= [j(j+1) - l(l+1) - \frac{3}{4} - m] \\ &\quad \times [j(j+1) - l(l+1) - \frac{3}{4} + m + 1] \end{aligned}$$

which evidently has two solutions,

$$j(j+1) - l(l+1) - \frac{3}{4} = \begin{cases} -l - 1 \\ l \end{cases} \quad (10A-10)$$

that is,

$$j = \begin{cases} l - \frac{1}{2} \\ l + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (10A-11)$$

For $j = l + 1/2$, we get, after a little algebra

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{l+m+1}{2l+1}} \quad \beta = \sqrt{\frac{l-m}{2l+1}} \quad (10A-12)$$

(Actually we just get the ratio; these are already normalized forms.) Thus

$$\psi_{l+1/2,m+1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{l+m+1}{2l+1}} Y_{lm} \chi_+ + \sqrt{\frac{l-m}{2l+1}} Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- \quad (10A-13)$$

We can guess that the $j = l - 1/2$ solution must have the form

$$\psi_{l-1/2,m+1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{l-m}{2l+1}} Y_{lm} \chi_+ - \sqrt{\frac{l+m+1}{2l+1}} Y_{l,m+1} \chi_- \quad (10A-14)$$

in order to be orthogonal to the $j = l + 1/2$ solution.

General Rules for Addition of Angular Momenta, and Implications for Identical Particles

These two examples illustrate the general features that are involved in the addition of angular momenta: If we have the eigenstates $Y_{l_1 m_1}^{(1)}$ of \mathbf{L}_1^2 and L_{1z} , and the eigenstates $Y_{l_2 m_2}^{(2)}$ of \mathbf{L}_2^2 and L_{2z} , then we can form $(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)$ product wave functions

$$Y_{l_1 m_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 m_2}^{(2)} \begin{cases} -l_1 \leq m_1 \leq l_1 \\ -l_2 \leq m_2 \leq l_2 \end{cases} \quad (10A-15)$$

These can be classified by the eigenvalue of

$$J_z = L_{1z} + L_{2z} \quad (10A-16)$$

which is $m_1 + m_2$, and which ranges from a maximum value of $l_1 + l_2$ down to $-l_1 - l_2$. As in the simple cases discussed earlier, different linear combinations of functions with

the same m value will belong to different values of j . In the following table we list the possible combinations for the special example of $l_1 = 4, l_2 = 2$. We shall use the simple abbreviation (m_1, m_2) for $Y_{l_1 m_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 m_2}^{(2)}$.

| m -value | m_1, m_2 combinations | numbers |
|------------|--|---------|
| 6 | (4, 2) | 1 |
| 5 | (4, 1) (3, 2) | 2 |
| 4 | (4, 0) (3, 1) (2, 2) | 3 |
| 3 | (4, -1) (3, 0) (2, 1) (1, 2) | 4 |
| 2 | (4, -2) (3, -1) (2, 0) (1, 1) (0, 2) | 5 |
| 1 | (3, -2) (2, -1) (1, 0) (0, 1) (-1, 2) | 5 |
| 0 | (2, -2) (1, -1) (0, 0) (-1, 1) (-2, 2) | 5 |
| -1 | (1, -2) (0, -1) (-1, 0) (-2, 1) (-3, 2) | 5 |
| -2 | (0, -2) (-1, -1) (-2, 0) (-3, 1) (-4, 2) | 5 |
| -3 | (-1, -2) (-2, -1) (-3, 0) (-4, 1) | 4 |
| -4 | (-2, -2) (-3, -1) (-4, 0) | 3 |
| -5 | (-3, -2) (-4, -1) | 2 |
| -6 | (-4, -2) | 1 |

There are a total of 45 combinations, consistent with $(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)$.

The highest state has total angular momentum $l_1 + l_2$ as can easily be checked by applying J^2 to $Y_{l_1 l_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 l_2}^{(2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{J}^2 Y_{l_1 l_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 l_2}^{(2)} &= (\mathbf{L}_1^2 + \mathbf{L}_2^2 + 2L_{1z}L_{2z} + L_{1+}L_{2-} + L_{1-}L_{2+}) Y_{l_1 l_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 l_2}^{(2)} \\
 &= \hbar^2 [l_1(l_1 + 1) + l_2(l_2 + 1) + 2l_1 l_2] Y_{l_1 l_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 l_2}^{(2)} \\
 &= \hbar^2 (l_1 + l_2)(l_1 + l_2 + 1) Y_{l_1 l_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 l_2}^{(2)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{10A-17}$$

This is $j = 6$ in the example discussed in the table. Successive applications of

$$J_- = L_{1-} + L_{2-} \tag{10A-18}$$

will pick out one linear combination from each row in the table. These will form the 13 states that belong to $j = 6$. When this is done, there remains a single state with $m = 5$, two with $m = 4, \dots$, one with $m = -5$. It is extremely plausible, and can, in fact, be checked, that the $m = 5$ state belongs to $j = 5$. Again, successive applications of J_- pick out another linear combination from each row in the table, forming 11 states that belong to $j = 5$. Repetition of this procedure shows that we get, after this, sets that belong to $j = 4, j = 3$, and finally $j = 2$. The multiplicities add up to 45:

$$13 + 11 + 9 + 7 + 5 = 45$$

We shall not work out the details of this decomposition, as it is beyond the scope of this book. We merely state the results.

- (a) The product $Y_{l_1 m_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 m_2}^{(2)}$ can be decomposed into eigenstates of \mathbf{J}^2 , with eigenvalues $j(j + 1)\hbar^2$, where j can take on the values

$$j = l_1 + l_2, l_1 + l_2 - 1, \dots, |l_1 - l_2| \tag{10A-19}$$

We can verify that the multiplicities check in (10A-19): If we sum the number of states, we get ($l_1 \geq l_2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [2(l_1 + l_2) + 1] + [2(l_1 + l_2 - 1) + 1] + \cdots + [2(l_1 - l_2) + 1] \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{2l_2} [2(l_1 - l_2 + n) + 1] \\
 &= (2l_2 + 1)(2l_1 + 1)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10A-20}$$

- (b) It is possible to generatize (10A-13) and (10A-14) to give the Clebsch-Gordan series

$$\psi_{jm} = \sum_{m_1} C(jm; l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2) Y_{l_1 m_1}^{(1)} Y_{l_2 m_2}^{(2)}
 \tag{10A-21}$$

The coefficients $C(jm; l_1 m_1 m_2)$ are called Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and they have been tabulated for many values of the arguments. We calculated the coefficients for $l_2 = 1/2$, and summarize (10A-12) and (10A-13) in the table that follows. Note that $m = m_1 + m_2$, so that the m in (10A-13) and (10A-14) is really m_1 below.

$$C(jm; l_1 m_1, 1/2, m_2)$$

| | $m_2 = 1/2$ | $m_2 = -1/2$ |
|-----------------|--|---|
| $j = l_1 + 1/2$ | $\sqrt{\frac{l_1 + m + 1/2}{2l_1 + 1}}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{l_1 - m + 1/2}{2l_1 + 1}}$ |
| $j = l_1 - 1/2$ | $-\sqrt{\frac{l_1 - m + 1/2}{2l_1 + 1}}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{l_1 + m + 1/2}{2l_1 + 1}}$ |

Another useful table is

$$C(jm; l_1 m_1, 1, m_2)$$

| | $m_2 = 1$ | $m_2 = 0$ | $m_2 = -1$ |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| $j = l_1 + 1$ | $\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 + m)(l_1 + m + 1)}{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_1 + 2)}}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 - m + 1)(l_1 + m + 1)}{(2l_1 + 1)(l_1 + 1)}}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 - m)(l_1 - m + 1)}{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_1 + 2)}}$ |
| $j = l_1$ | $-\sqrt{\frac{(l + m)(l_1 - m + 1)}{2l_1(l_1 + 1)}}$ | $\frac{m}{\sqrt{l_1(l_1 + 1)}}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 - m)(l_1 + m + 1)}{2l_1(2l_1 + 1)}}$ |
| $j = l_1 - 1$ | $\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 - m)(l_1 - m + 1)}{2l_1(2l_1 + 1)}}$ | $-\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 - m)(l_1 + m)}{l_1(2l_1 + 1)}}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{(l_1 + m)(l_1 + m + 1)}{2l_1(2l_1 + 1)}}$ |

The Levi-Civita Symbol and Maxwell's Equations

A very useful mathematical device is the use of the *Levi-Civita* symbol. The symbol e_{ijk} is defined by the following properties:

- (a) It is antisymmetric under the interchange of any two of its indices. For example,

$$e_{123} = -e_{213} = -(-e_{231}) \quad (10B-1)$$

and so on. Two consequences of this rule are

- (i) When any two indices are equal, the value of e_{ijk} is zero.

(ii) $e_{123} = e_{231} = e_{312}$

- (b) $e_{123} = 1$ (10B-2)

Some consequences of this definition are

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ijk}e_{ijm} &= 2\delta_{km} \\ e_{ijk}e_{imn} &= \delta_{jm}\delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn}\delta_{km} \\ e_{ijk}A_jB_k &= (A \times B)_i \\ [L_i, L_j] &= ie_{ijk}L_k \end{aligned} \quad (10B-3)$$

We may use this to write out Maxwell's equations in a particularly interesting way.

Maxwell's equations in empty space have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \end{aligned} \quad (10B-4)$$

They may be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B}) = -ic\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B}) \quad (10B-5)$$

Which bears some resemblance to the Schrödinger equation in that the "wave function" is complex, and that the first-order time derivative enters into the equation.

We may write the equation in a very suggestive way by using the Levi-Civita symbol in two contexts. First, the symbol may be used to give a matrix representation of the spin 1 angular momentum \mathbf{S} . (We are actually working with the angular momentum matrix divided by \hbar —that is, with the analog of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2$.)

To see this, we postulate

$$(S_i)_{jk} = -ie_{ijk} \quad (10B-6)$$

The square of the matrix is easily calculated. We have

$$(\mathbf{S}^2)_{jl} = (\mathbf{S}_i)_{jk}(\mathbf{S}_i)_{kl} = -e_{ijk}e_{ikl} = e_{ijk}e_{ikl} = 2\delta_{jl} \quad (10B-7)$$

We next need to check the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} (S_a)_{jk}(S_b)_{kl} - (S_b)_{jk}(S_a)_{kl} &= -e_{ajk}e_{bkl} + e_{bjk}e_{akl} \\ &= e_{ajk}e_{bkl} - e_{bjk}e_{akl} = \delta_{ab}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{al}\delta_{jb} - \delta_{ab}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{bl}\delta_{aj} \\ &= \delta_{bl}\delta_{aj} - \delta_{al}\delta_{jb} = e_{bam}e_{ljm} = ie_{abm}(-ie_{mjl}) = ie_{abm}(S_m)_{jl} \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$[S_a, S_b] = ie_{abm}S_m \quad (10B-8)$$

Let us now rewrite our version of Maxwell's equations. It reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(E_i + icB_i) &= -ice_{imn}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}(E_n + iB_n) \\ &= -c(S_m)_{in}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}(E_n + iB_n) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(E_i + icB_i) = c(S_m)_{in}\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}(E_n + iB_n) \quad (10B-9)$$

With the notation $\psi_i = (E_i + icB_i)$, we get

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial t} = c(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\text{op}})_{im}\psi_m \quad (10B-10)$$

The operator on the right side is the projection of the photon spin along the direction of motion. The complex conjugate wave function is easily seen to satisfy

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_i^*}{\partial t} = -c(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\text{op}})_{im}\psi_m^* \quad (10B-11)$$

where the right side represents the opposite projection (helicity). We need both equations to obtain separate equations for \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} .