

Conservation of Total Momentum

In our discussion of angular momentum in Chapter 8 we found that the assumption of invariance of the Hamiltonian under rotations led to the appearance of a new constant of motion, *the angular momentum*. In this supplement we show that the assumption of *invariance under spatial displacement* leads to the existence of a constant of the motion, *the momentum*. The requirement that the system be unchanged under the transformation

$$x_i \rightarrow x_i + a \quad (13A-1)$$

does not change the kinetic energy, since a is independent of time. The potential energy will change, *unless* it has the form

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N) = V(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3, \dots, x_2 - x_3, \dots, x_{N-1} - x_N) \quad (13A-2)$$

In classical mechanics, the absence of external forces leads to momentum conservation. This follows from the equations of motion,

$$m_i \frac{d^2 x_i}{dt^2} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} V(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3, \dots, x_{N-1} - x_N) \quad (13A-3)$$

a consequence of which is that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_i m_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} &= - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} V(x_1 - x_2, \dots, x_{N-1} - x_N) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13A-4)$$

The reason for the vanishing of the right side of the preceding equation is that for every argument in V , there are equal and opposite contributions that come from $\sum_i \partial/\partial x_i$ acting on it. For example, with $u = x_1 - x_2$, $v = x_1 - x_3$, $w = x_2 - x_3$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) V(u, v, w) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial w} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial w} = 0$$

Hence

$$P = \sum_i m_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} \quad (13A-5)$$

is a constant of the motion.

In quantum mechanics the same conclusion holds. We shall demonstrate it by using the invariance of the Hamiltonian under the transformation (13A-1). The invariance implies that both

$$Hu_E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = Eu_E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \quad (13A-6)$$

and

$$H u_E(x_1 + a, x_2 + a, \dots, x_N + a) = E u_E(x_1 + a, x_2 + a, \dots, x_N + a) \quad (13A-7)$$

hold. Let us take a infinitesimal, so that terms of $O(a^2)$ can be neglected. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x_1 + a, \dots, x_N + a) &\approx u(x_1, \dots, x_N) + a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\
 &\quad + a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} u(x_1, \dots, x_N) + \dots \\
 &\approx u(x_1, \dots, x_N) + a \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x_1, \dots, x_N)
 \end{aligned} \tag{13A-8}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 aH \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) u_E(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= aE \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) u_E(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\
 &= a \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) E u_E(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\
 &= a \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) H u_E(x_1, \dots, x_N)
 \end{aligned} \tag{13A-9}$$

If we now define

$$P = \frac{\hbar}{i} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \tag{13A-10}$$

so that P is the total momentum operator, we see that we have demonstrated that

$$(HP - PH) u_E(x_1, \dots, x_N) = 0 \tag{13A-11}$$

Since the energy eigenstates for N -particles form a complete set of states, in the sense that any function of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N can be expanded in terms of all the $u_E(x_1, \dots, x_N)$, the preceding equation can be translated into

$$[H, P]\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = 0 \tag{13A-12}$$

for all $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ —that is, into the operator relation

$$[H, P] = 0 \tag{13A-13}$$

This, however, implies that P , the total momentum of the system, is a *constant of the motion*. This is a very deep consequence of what is really a statement about the nature of space. The statement that there is no origin—that is, that the laws of physics are invariant under displacement by a fixed distance—leads to a conservation law. In relativistic quantum mechanics there are no potentials of the form that we consider here; nevertheless the invariance principle, as stated earlier, still leads to a conserved total momentum.